

January 24, 2019

Richard Kopelman
Aprio, LLP
5 Concourse Pkwy Ste 1000
Atlanta, GA 30328-6132

Dear Richard Kopelman:

It is my pleasure to notify you that on January 16, 2019, the National Peer Review Committee accepted the report on the most recent System Review of your firm. The due date for your next review is October 31, 2021. This is the date by which all review documents should be completed and submitted to the administering entity.

As you know, the report had a peer review rating of pass. The Committee asked me to convey its congratulations to the firm.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,



Michael Fawley
Chair, National PRC
nprc@aicpa.org +1.919.402.4502
National Peer Review Committee

cc: Mark Wiseman, Jeffrey Grosoff

Firm Number: 900010028016

Review Number: 558127



Report on the Firm's System of Quality Control

To the Partners of
Aprio, LLP
and the National Peer Review Committee

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Aprio, LLP (the "firm") applicable to engagements not subject to PCAOB permanent inspection in effect for the year ended April 30, 2018. Our peer review was conducted in accordance with the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews established by the Peer Review Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (Standards).

A summary of the nature, objectives, scope, limitations of, and the procedures performed in a System Review as described in the Standards may be found at www.aicpa.org/prsummary. The summary also includes an explanation of how engagements identified as not performed or reported in conformity with applicable professional standards, if any, are evaluated by a peer reviewer to determine a peer review rating.

Firm's Responsibility

The firm is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The firm is also responsible for evaluating actions to promptly remediate engagements deemed as not performed or reported in conformity with professional standards, when appropriate, and for remediating weaknesses in its system of quality control, if any.

Peer Reviewer's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the firm's compliance therewith based on our review.

Required Selections and Considerations

Engagements selected for review included (engagements performed under *Government Auditing Standards*, including compliance audits under the Single Audit; audits of employee benefit plans, audit of a broker dealer, and examinations of service organizations [SOC 1 and SOC 2 engagements].)

As part of our peer review, we considered reviews by regulatory entities as communicated by the firm, if applicable, in determining the nature and extent of our procedures.

Opinion

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Aprio, LLP applicable to engagements not subject to PCAOB permanent inspection in effect for the year ended April 30, 2018, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Firms can receive a rating of *pass*, *pass with deficiency(ies)* or *fail*. Aprio, LLP has received a peer review rating of *pass*.

Brown, Edwards & Company, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Roanoke, Virginia
September 28, 2018